Profile

Subunit: Fakultät WISO

Name of the instructor: Prof. Dr. Klaus Prettner

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Doctorial Seminar in Theoretical and Empirical Economics


Comparative line:

Compilation: Alle Seminare des WS15/16 &SS16 - englisch

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Contents


- 1.1) The learning goals of the seminar were clear to me.
- 1.2) The seminar helped me to achieve the learning objectives.
- 1.3) Overlaps with other modules helped me to achieve the learning objectives (if there was no overlap, please do not answer).
- 1.4) The lecturer clearly pointed out interrelations between different topics.
- 1.5) The seminar content was meaningfully related to other subjects in the degree program.
- 1.6) The lecturer effectively related lecture topics to other subjects in the degree program.
- 1.7) The lecturer provided satisfactory explanations of and comprehensive information about the topics covered in the course.
- 1.8) The lecturer used examples that enhanced students' understanding of the topics discussed.
- 1.9) The seminars were well-delivered and easy to follow.
- 1.10) The course was well structured.
- 1.11) It was worthwhile to attend this course.




n=4	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
n=256	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
n=255	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
n=5	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
n=209	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
n=3	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
n=247	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
n=5	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
n=252	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
n=5	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
n=248	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
n=5	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
n=248	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
n=4	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
n=248	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
n=5	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
n=251	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
n=253	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
n=5	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
n=259	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,8

2. Atmosphere


- 2.1) The study and working atmosphere in this course was pleasant.
- 2.2) I felt free to ask questions and make comments.
- $^{2.3)}\,\,$ The lecturer inspired my motivation to learn.
- 2.4) The lecturer was motivated.







3. Seminar


- The lecturer provided me with adequate support during the preparation of my paper.
- 3.2) The lecturer was available for questions and feedback in and outside of class.
- 3.3) The lecturer did a good job of leading class discussions (e.g. time management, encouraging student contributions, discussing








- 3.5) The lecturer was responsive to the suggestions of the students.
- 3.6) The performance requirements and assessment criteria of the course were clearly stated.





4. Workload

4.1) Compared with other modules, I would describe the workload for this module as



n=6 av.=2,8 md=3,0 dev.=0,4 n=257 av.=3,7 md=4,0 dev.=0,8

7. Overall assessment

7.1) Overall, I would rate the seminar as



n=5 av.=1,0 md=1,0 dev.=0,0 n=241 av.=1,8 md=2,0 dev.=0,8

8. General information

8.1) I attended the course



n=4 av.=1,5 md=1,5 dev.=0,6 n=216 av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0,5